## MATH 430, SPRING 2022 <br> NOTES MARCH 30 - APRIL 8

Below $\mathfrak{A}=(\mathbb{N},<, S,+, \cdot, 0,1)$ is the standard model of PA. By compactness, PA has nonstandard models i.e. a model $\mathcal{B} \models P A$, such that $\mathcal{B} \neq \mathfrak{A}$. (See homework problem)

Now, suppose that $\mathcal{B}$ is a nonstandard model of PA.
Theorem 1. $\mathcal{B}$ is an end extension of $\mathfrak{A}$ i.e. there is a one-to-one homomorphism $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow|\mathcal{B}|$ such that for all $a \in \operatorname{ran}(f)$ and $b \in|\mathcal{B}| \backslash \operatorname{ran}(f)$, $a<{ }^{\mathcal{B}} b$.
Proof. Define $f$ by $f(n)=\left(S^{\mathcal{B}}\right)^{n}\left(0^{\mathcal{B}}\right)$. $f$ is one-to-one, since $P A \models S$ is one-to-one. Next we can verify that $f$ is a homomorphism:

- $f(0)=0^{\mathcal{B}}$ and $f(1)=S^{\mathcal{B}}\left(0^{\mathcal{B}}\right)=1^{\mathcal{B}}$, by definition;
- $n<m$ iff $f(n)<^{\mathcal{B}} f(m)$, this is because $P A \models S$ is order preserving.
- $f(S(n))=S^{\mathcal{B}}(f(n))$, this is because $P A \models S^{n+1}(0)=S\left(S^{n}(0)\right)$;
- $f(n+m)=f(n)+f(m)$, this is because $P A \models S^{n+m}(0)=S^{n}(0)+$ $S^{m}(0)$;
- $f(n \cdot m)=f(n) \cdot f(m)$, this is because $P A=S^{n \cdot m}(0)=S^{n}(0) \cdot S^{m}(0)$;

Finally, suppose that $a \in \operatorname{ran}(f)$ and $b \in|\mathcal{B}| \backslash \operatorname{ran}(f)$. We have to show that $a<^{\mathcal{B}} b$. Since $a \in \operatorname{ran}(f)$, by definition of $f$, for some $n, a=f(n)$. We show that $a=f(n)<^{\mathcal{B}} b$ by indiction on $n$ :
(1) if $n=0$, since $P A \models 0$ is the least element, we have that $f(0)=$ $0^{\mathcal{B}}<b$.
(2) $n=k+1$ and inductively we assume that $f(k)<^{\mathcal{B}} b$. Then since $P A \models \forall x \forall y(x<y \rightarrow(S(x)<y \vee S(x)=y))$, we have that either $f(k+1)=S^{\mathcal{B}}(f(k))=b$ of $f(k+1)<{ }^{\mathcal{B}} b$. Since $b \notin \operatorname{ran}(f)$, it cannot equal $f(k+1)$. So $f(k+1)<{ }^{\mathcal{B}} b$.

It follows that $\mathcal{B}$ contains an isomorphic copy of the natural numbers as an initial segment. For simplicity of notation, write $n$ to denote $\left(S^{\mathcal{B}}\right)^{n}\left(0^{\mathcal{B}}\right)$. For example, we write 0 for $0^{\mathcal{B}}, 1$ for $S^{\mathcal{B}}(0)=1^{\mathcal{B}}, 2$ for $S^{\mathcal{B}}\left(S^{\mathcal{B}}(0)\right)$ and so on.

Similarly, for a formula $\phi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ in $\mathbb{N}$, we say that $P A \models$ $\phi\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]$, if for any model $\mathcal{B} \models P A, \mathcal{B} \models \phi\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]$.
Definition 2. Let $\phi$ be a formula $\phi$ in the language of $P A$.
(1) $\phi$ is $\Delta_{0}$ if it is logically equivalent to a formula with only bounded quantifiers (or no quantifiers).
(2) $\phi$ is $\Sigma_{1}$ if it is logically equivalent to a formula of the form $\exists x_{1}, \ldots, \exists x_{n} \psi$, where $\psi$ is $\Delta_{0}$.
(3) $\phi$ is $\Pi_{1}$ if it is logically equivalent to a formula of the form $\forall x_{1}, \ldots, \forall x_{n} \psi$, where $\psi$ is $\Delta_{0}$.
(4) $\phi$ is $\Delta_{1}$ if it is logically equivalent to both a $\Sigma_{1}$ and a $\Pi_{1}$ formula.

Examples of $\Delta_{0}$ formulas:

- all atomic formulas;
- $\phi_{\text {div }}(x, y)=\exists z<y(x \cdot z=y)$;
- $\phi_{\text {prime }}(x)=x>1 \wedge \forall z<x\left(\phi_{\text {div }}(z, x) \rightarrow z=1\right)$.

Note that if $\phi$ is $\Sigma_{1}$, then $\neg \phi$ is $\Pi_{1}$. Similarly, if $\phi$ is $\Pi_{1}$, then $\neg \phi$ is $\Sigma_{1}$ Also, if both $\phi$ and $\neg \phi$ are $\Sigma_{1}$, then $\phi$ is $\Delta_{1}$.

Theorem 3. If $\mathcal{B} \vDash P A$, and $\phi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is a $\Delta_{0}$-formula, then for any $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ in $\mathbb{N}, \mathfrak{A} \models \phi\left[a_{1}, \ldots a_{n}\right]$ iff $\mathcal{B} \models \phi\left[a_{1}, \ldots a_{n}\right]$.

Proof. This is by induction on the complexity of $\phi$, using that $\mathcal{B}$ is an end extension of $\mathfrak{A}$. Fix $\phi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and natural numbers $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$.

For the base case, if $\phi$ is atomic, by the existence of the function $f$ in the proof of theorem 1 , it follows that $\mathfrak{A} \models \phi\left[a_{1}, \ldots a_{n}\right]$ iff $\mathcal{B} \models \phi\left[a_{1}, \ldots a_{n}\right]$.

If $\phi$ is a negation or a conjunction, the statement follows by the inductive hypothesis.

Now, suppose that $\phi$ is of the form $\forall y<x_{1} \psi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y\right)$, where the inductive hypothesis holds for $\psi$. Then:
$\mathfrak{A}=\phi\left[a_{1}, \ldots a_{n}\right]$ iff
for all $b<a_{1}, \mathfrak{A} \models \psi\left[a_{1}, \ldots a_{n}, b\right]$ iff, by the inductive hypothesis,
for all natural numbers $b<a_{1}, \mathcal{B}=\psi\left[a_{1}, \ldots a_{n}, b\right]$.
For any $c \in|\mathcal{B}|$, if $c<a_{1}$, then $c \in \operatorname{ran}(f)$ i.e. it is a natural number. So, for all natural numbers $b<a_{1}, \mathcal{B}=\psi\left[a_{1}, \ldots a_{n}, b\right]$ iff $\mathcal{B} \models \phi\left[a_{1}, \ldots a_{n}\right]$.

As a corollary, one can show (the details are in your homework)
Corollary 4. Suppose $\mathcal{B} \models P A$ and $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ are in $\mathbb{N}$. Then
(1) If $\phi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is a $\Sigma_{1}$-formula, if $\mathfrak{A} \models \phi\left[a_{1}, \ldots a_{n}\right]$, then $\mathcal{B} \models \phi\left[a_{1}, \ldots a_{n}\right]$.
(2) If $\phi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is $a \Pi_{1}$-formula, if $\mathcal{B} \models \phi\left[a_{1}, \ldots a_{n}\right]$, then $\mathfrak{A}=\phi\left[a_{1}, \ldots a_{n}\right]$.
(3) If $\phi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is a $\Delta_{1}$-formula, then $\mathfrak{A} \vDash \phi\left[a_{1}, \ldots a_{n}\right]$ iff $\mathcal{B} \vDash \phi\left[a_{1}, \ldots a_{n}\right]$.
(4) If $\phi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is a $\Sigma_{1}$-formula, then $\mathfrak{A} \vDash \phi\left[a_{1}, \ldots a_{n}\right]$ iff $P A \models$ $\phi\left[a_{1}, \ldots a_{n}\right]$.

Proof. For the first three items, the proofs are assigned as homework. For the last one, fix a $\Sigma_{1}$-formula $\phi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. For the first (easy) direction, suppose that $P A \models \phi\left[a_{1}, \ldots a_{n}\right]$. Then since $\mathfrak{A} \vDash P A$, we have that $\mathfrak{A} \models$ $\phi\left[a_{1}, \ldots a_{n}\right]$.

For the other direction, suppose that $\mathfrak{A} \vDash \phi\left[a_{1}, \ldots a_{n}\right]$. Then by item (1) above any for any model $\mathcal{B}$ of PA , we have that $\mathcal{B} \models \phi\left[a_{1}, \ldots a_{n}\right]$. It follows that $P A \models \phi\left[a_{1}, \ldots a_{n}\right]$.

The primitive recursive functions are (total) functions $f: \mathbb{N}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, that are built up from the constant function $f(x)=0$, projections, and the successor function $S$, by applying composition and the primitive recursion operation:

- $f\left(0, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=g\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$;
- $f\left(n+1, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=h\left(n, f\left(n, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right), a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$;
where $g, h$ are primitive recursive.
Examples of primitive recursive functions: addition, multiplication, exponentiation.

Later we will show the following theorem.
Theorem 5. Suppose that $f: \mathbb{N}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is primitive recursive. Then there is a $\Delta_{1}$ formula $\phi\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k-1}, y\right)$, such that for all $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k-1}, b$ in $\mathbb{N}$,

$$
f\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k-1}\right)=b \text { iff } \mathfrak{A} \models \phi\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k-1}, b\right] .
$$

